

Offensee 53
4802 Ebensee
Austria

Hannes Schweizer, Offensee 53, 4802 Ebensee, Austria

US Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green
New York, NY 10004-1408

August 30, 2023

Re: Chapter 11 Case No. 22-10964 (MG)
Joinder to Motion for Repayment of Loans and Return of Collateral by
Johan Bronge, Pro Se Creditor

To Judge Martin Glenn,

I, Hannes Schweizer, a party involved in the above-mentioned Chapter 11 case, hereby submit this joinder in support of the motion filed by Johan Bronge, seeking the court's permission to fulfill Loan Agreements with Celsius and repay outstanding loans, as well as requesting the return of collateral.

Supporting Arguments:

I fully endorse the points raised in Mr. Bronge's motion, particularly those pertaining to the equitable treatment of all collateralized loans and the need for retail borrowers to be allowed to fulfill their loan agreements. I wish to emphasize the following:

- **Uniform Treatment of Collateralized Loans:** All borrowers, whether institutional or retail, have pledged collateral to secure their loans. The collateral, irrespective of the borrower's category, serves as a guarantee for loan repayment. It is imperative that the court ensures equal treatment for all creditors by permitting the fulfillment of Loan Agreements and the return of collateral as stipulated.

- **Equitable Treatment of Retail Borrowers:** The absence of a mechanism allowing retail borrowers to fulfill their loan agreements and regain ownership of their collateral results in disparate treatment. This inconsistency contradicts the fundamental principles of Chapter 11 bankruptcy law, which emphasize fairness and equal treatment of similarly situated creditors. Retail borrowers should be granted the same opportunity as institutional borrowers to repay their loans and reclaim their collateral.
- **Consistency in Collateral Ownership Status:** The evolving interpretation of collateral ownership, as highlighted by Mr. Bronge, underscores the need for clarity and consistency. Uniform treatment of collateralized loans will address contradictions that have arisen due to changing rulings. This consistency ensures that borrowers' property rights are respected, regardless of the type of loan they hold.

Conclusion:

I urge the court to consider the arguments put forth in Mr. Bronge's motion and to grant the requested relief. Uniform treatment of collateralized loans is essential to upholding the principles of fairness, property rights, and equitable distribution of assets. Retail borrowers should not be disadvantaged due to their borrower category. Your consideration of this joinder is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Hannes Schweizer